

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/559,794  | 12/08/2005  | Federico Mailland    | 622-89                  | 7507             |  |
| 23117 7590 01092099<br>NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC<br>901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR<br>ARLINGTON, VA 22203 |             |                      | EXAM                    | EXAMINER         |  |
|   |             |                      | TATE, CHRISTOPHER ROBIN |                  |  |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   |             |                      | 1655                    |                  |  |
|   |             |                      |                         |                  |  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE               | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|   |             |                      | 01/09/2009              | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) MAILLAND, FEDERICO 10/559.794 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 1655 Christopher R. Tate All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Christopher R. Tate. (3) (2) Leonard Mitchard. (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 06 January 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)XI No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: All. in general. Identification of prior art discussed: All, in general. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed various amendments to the claims which would appear to help overcome the USC 102 rejection of record (e.g., amending claim 25 so as to recite --in a patient having Onychoschizia-- and/or including claim 52 therein). Also discussed the overall art with respect to the USC 102 and 103 rejections of record. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1655